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#### Abstract

An efficient 11-step synthesis of the optically homogeneous bridged ring system 13, by a SmI 2 mediated reductive pinacol coupling is presented.


The spindle poisons (colchicine, vinblastine, taxol) have been extensively studied in our Institute under iheir chemical and pharmacological aspects for the past two decades ${ }^{1}$. Accordingly we developed a comprehensive synthetic program for taxol and its analogs ${ }^{2}$.

Since taxol 1 was first reported to be a promising anticancer drug several dozens of (as yet unaccomplished) synthetic approaches have been published ${ }^{3}$. As part of our ongoing studies we desire to develop an efficient synthesis of 2 that contains the ABC preformed framework of taxol (summarized in Scheme 1). Our interest in this synthesis has focused on the use of the known lower analogue $4^{4}$ of Wieland-Miescher ketone as an A-ring precursor. We disclose herein a short and efficient synthesis of 13 in its optically homogeneous form .


Scheme 1
The retrosynthetic analysis for synthesizing the bicyclo[3.2.1]octane unit involves two critical steps, the elaboration of the cis-fused hydrindane 6 and the carbon-carbon bond formation leading to the bridged system 12. The above mentioned considerations led us to develop a synthesis via the corresponding precursor 4. The interesting biological activities found among molecules containing the relatively rigid bicyclo[3.2.1]octane ring system ${ }^{5}$ have made the design
of synthetic routes to this ring system a challenging problem ${ }^{6}$. Retrosynthetic analysis showed that 12 could be obtained by an appropriate elaboration of 4 which secures the relative (and absolute) stereochemistry and can further be brought into taxol's A-ring using literature conditions. The key step of our synthetic scheme was the construction of the suitably functionalized bicyclo[3.2.1] octane ring system 12 either by a $\mathrm{C}-1 / \mathrm{C}-2$ or $\mathrm{C}-2 / \mathrm{C}-10$ intramolecular carbon-carbon bond formation. Scheme 2 summarizes the successful C-1/C-2 approach.


Scheme 2: 1) t-BuOK,t-BuOH, MeI, 2) $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%$, benzene-heptane, r.t., 3) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DCM , r.t., 4) $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, pTosOH, benzene, $\left.\left.\Delta, 5\right) \mathrm{DMSO},(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6\right) \mathrm{TMSOTf}$, collidine, DCM, r.t., 6) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{Py},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\left.\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 8\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 9\right) 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{THF}$, r.t., 10) SmI , THF-MeOH, $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Thus, following Scheme 2, we prepared 5 bearing the C-15 geminal methyl group (by treatment with t-BuOK in t - BuOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min followed by addition of an excess methyl iodide ) the double bond being shifted to the five membered ring. Catalytic reduction in benzene-heptane $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 50 \mathrm{psi}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$ ) afforded a stereoisomeric mixture of cis and trans fused hydrindanones in $86 \%$ yield and a $32: 1$ ratio respectively 7 . The two compounds were easily separated by crystallization from pentane thus affording the optically pure $6^{8}$. The cis ring junction was necessary to ensure the $C-1$ carbon center (taxane numbering) in its required absolute stereochemistry. Removal of the $t$-butyl protecting group was accomplished as described in reference $4\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}\right.$, r.t.) in $99 \%$ yield. Ketalization of the $\mathrm{C}-1$ carbonyl with ethylene glycol (benzene, pTosOH, $\Delta$, Dean-Stark, $93 \%$ ), followed by a Swern oxidation of the free
hydroxyl group ((COCl) $)_{2}$, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) furnished ketone-ketal $7(90 \%)$. Formation of its corresponding silyl enol ether 8 (TMSOTf, collidine, DCM, r.t., 93\%) and subsequent ozonolysis ( $\mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{Py},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), followed by work-up with triphenylphosphin and esterification with diazomethane afforded 9 ( $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ ) and 10 ( $60 \%$ ). Acid catalyzed deketalization of 10 with 1 N HCl in THF at r.t. gave the desired keto-aldehyde 11 ( $96 \%$ ). The acyloin 9 was smoothly converted to 10 by treatment with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at r.t. for 10 min . and esterification with diazomethane, increasing considerably the yield of the required keto-aldehyde 11. A number of reagents are known to promote pinacolic coupling reaction of ketones or aldehydes ${ }^{10}$. Substrate 11 was submitted to standard reductive cyclization conditions mediated by $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ ( 2.8 equivalents of $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}{ }^{11}$, 2.2 equiv of MeOH in THF at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{12}$ and gave 12 in $91 \%$ yield ${ }^{13}$. The configurations at the newly formed asymmetric centers are assigned to be as in $\mathbf{1 2}$ by considering the compulsory bottom-side attack of C-1 carbonyl thus insuring the facial selectivity on $\mathrm{C}-1$. Experimental evidence favouring the structure 12 came from n.O.e studies $\mathbf{( 4 0 0 M H z}$ NMR) and was in agreement with molecular mechanics calculations (Figure 1), using Still's Macromodel program, with Allinger's basic MM2 force field ${ }^{14}$. Swem oxidation of 12 (DMSO, $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t.) afforded the key intermediate $13(85 \%) .15$


Figure 1: The Lowest Energy Conformer of 13 (the arcs indicate observed nuclear Overhauser enhancements).
In summary, a concise synthesis of $\mathbf{1 3}$ was achieved over 11 steps. A salient feature of this scheme is that the stereocenter at $\mathrm{C}-1$ was constructed in a highly stereoselective manner and the utility of a new methodology for preparing taxol's A-ring was demonstrated. Further investigations of the conversion of $\mathbf{1 3}$ to a taxane framework via a B-seco taxane $\mathbf{3}$ are in progress.
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